

Bacon did not file a first amended petition by July 31, 2015. Therefore, on August 6, 2015, the court dismissed the action for the reasons stated in the June 19, 2015 order. *See* Order entered August 6, 2015 (ECF No. 3). The court denied Bacon a certificate of appealability. *See id*. Judgment was entered that same date. *See* Judgment (ECF No. 4).

On August 17, 2015, Bacon filed an *Ex Parte* Motion for Appointment of Counsel (ECF No. 5), a First Amended Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus (ECF No. 6), and a Motion to Alter or Amend Judgment (ECF No. 7). In the amended habeas petition, Bacon stated, in conclusory terms, that, with respect to a 2008 parole hearing, the Nevada Department of Corrections denied him any opportunity to be heard. First Amended Petition (ECF No. 6), p. 3. However, it plainly appeared that Bacon had not exhausted his claim in state court. *See id.* at 4. Therefore, there was no showing of cause for relief from the August 6, 2015 judgment. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 59, 60; 28 U.S.C. §2254(b)(1). Therefore, on October 13, 2015, the court denied Bacon's motion to alter or amend judgment and his motion for appointment of counsel.

Meanshile, on September 3, 2015, Bacon filed a notice of appeal (ECF No. 8). The status of Bacon's appeal is unclear.

Now, on November 9, 2016, Bacon filed a motion to reopen this case (ECF No. 10), and a motion for leave to file an amended petition (ECF No. 11), with his proposed amended petition attached.

There is a final judgment in this case, and there is apparently an appeal pending. Bacon does not show cause why the case should be reopened and the judgment reconsidered. The court will deny Bacon's motions.

Bacon states in his November 9 filings that, between January 27, 2016, and September 30, 2016, he pursued state-court litigation regarding the matters that are the subject of his petition in this case, and he asserts that he has now exhausted his state-court remedies with respect to his claims. If that is the case, and if Bacon now wishes to pursue federal habeas corpus relief, he may seek to do so by initiating a new federal habeas corpus action in this court. (The court means to express no

	Case 2:15-cv-01144-APG-PAL Document 12 Filed 11/16/16 Page 3 of 3
1	opinion here with respect to either the procedural viability or the merits of any claim that Bacon may
2	make in any such petititon.)
3	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that petitioner's motion to reopen case (ECF No. 10) is
4	DENIED.
5	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that petitioner's motion for leave to amend is DENIED.
6	Dated: November 16, 2016.
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	